

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/763,935	01/22/2004	Jean E.F. Rivier	73933/5193 5057		
	7590 01/11/200 TABIN AND FLANNI	EXAMINER			
	A SALLE STREET	KHANNA, HEMANT			
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL	60603-3406		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1654		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 DAYS 01/11/2007			PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application N	о.	Applicant(s)				
		10/763,935		RIVIER ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Hemant Khann		1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FR - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of - If NO period for reply is specified above, if - Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later that earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (OM THE MAILING DA er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ate of this communication. he maximum statutory period w period for reply will, by statute, to three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS (36(a). In no event, ho will apply and will expi cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be tim re SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133)	,			
Status								
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on 22 Ja	anuarv 2004.			,			
2a) This action is FINAL .								
3) Since this application is i	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allo	owed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rej	ected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are obj	ected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject	to restriction and/or e	election require	ment.	·				
Application Papers								
9) The specification is object	ed to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)			_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Notice of Informal Pa							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•	6)	Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-10, drawn to a 38-residue CRFR1 ligand, classified in class 530, subclass 300+.

The claims recite peptides that read on a plethora of peptide sequences, which are not related in structure, and therefore the claims are considered to comprise an improper Markush group. The claims are not proper linking claims because in fact, the claims comprise multitudes of sequences.

Applicant must choose a single sequence for examination. This is not a species election, but an election of a single invention.

If applicant believes that their sequences are so overlapping as to be obvious variants of each other, then the Applicant may choose a single sequence for search, this sequence being representative of all sequences or a designated subset of the sequences. If applicant presents a single sequence to represent all sequences claimed, it will be understood that if this sequence is found in the prior art, the remaining sequences will be considered to be obvious variants of the found sequence.

2. This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)1 and (a)2. However, the application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the following reasons:

The sequence listing and CRF have not been processed for this application.

Further, the claims contain sequences with no identifiable SEQ ID NO:'s. SEQ ID NO:'s need to be inserted after all amino acid sequences subject to the sequence disclosure rules. See 37 CFR 1.821(d).

3. Inventions are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP 802.01) In the instant case, the different inventions have different structural sequences of amino acid residues.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (MPEP 808.02), restriction for examination purposes is proper. Furthermore, searching the inventions of the Group of polypeptides would impose a serious search burden as the sequences are not coextensive with regards to the non-patent literature. This search also requires an extensive analysis of the art retrieved in a sequence search and will require an in-depth analysis of the technical literature.

4. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include (i) an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and (ii) a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1654

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence not of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 USC 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hemant Khanna whose telephone number is (571) 272-9045. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,935

Art Unit: 1654

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ax

Hemant Khanna Ph.D. January 6, 2007

Cecilia J. Tsang
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600

Page 5